1	Brett L. Gibbs, Esq. (SBN 251000)		
2	Steele Hansmeier PLLC. 38 Miller Avenue, #263		
	Mill Valley, CA 94941		
3	415-325-5900 blgibbs@wefightpiracy.com		
4	Attorney for Plaintiff		
5			
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE		
7	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
8			
9	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
10			
11	BOY RACER, INC.,	No. C-11-02833 EJD	
12	Plaintiff,	NOTICE OF VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL	
13	V.	OF ACTION WITHOUT PREJUDICE AS TO THREE ANONYMOUS DOE	
14	DOES 2-72,	DEFENDANTS; [PROPOSED] ORDER	
15	Defendants.		
16			
17	NOTICE OF VOLUNTARY DISMISSA	AL OF ACTION WITHOUT PREJUDICE AS TO	
18	THREE ANONYM	MOUS DOE DEFENDANTS	
19	NOTICE IS HEDEDY CIVEN 41 -4		
20	NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1),		
21	Plaintiff voluntary dismisses all claims brought in this action against the three (3) anonymous Doe		
22	Defendants associated with the three motions pending in this case: Motion to Quash or Modify		
23	Subpoena (ECF No. 9), Motion to Quash or Modify Subpoena (ECF No. 10), and Motion to Quash		
24	or Modify Subpoena (ECF No. 11). To preserve privacy for these individuals, Plaintiff does not		
25	address these Doe Defendants by name, or by their Internet Protocol ("IP") addresses, and merely		
26	identifies them by the motions they filed in this case. This is sufficient to notify the Internet Service		
27	Providers ("ISPs") who have been properly notified by each subscriber of their pending motions.		
28	110 riders (1513) who have been properly in	ouried by each subscriber of their pending monoris.	

1 At this point, therefore, for further clarity, considering the proceeding voluntary dismissals of 2 the three Doe Defendants associated with the above motions, this action is now essentially Boy 3 Racer, Inc. v. Does 5-72. The Motions to Ouash, and the Responses thereto, should therefore no 4 longer be pending before the Court. The hearing on these Motions to Quash is scheduled for 5 October 11, 2011 at 10:00 a.m. in Courtroom 5 of the San Jose Federal Courthouse in front of 6 Magistrate Judge Grewal. (See ECF No. 12). In light of the above, the Motions to Quash are moot, 7 and the hearings are no longer necessary. Plaintiff requests that this hearing be taken off of the 8 9 Court's motion calendar. 10 In accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1), the three (3) Doe Defendants 11 being dismissed here have neither filed answers to Plaintiff's Complaint, nor a motion for summary 12 judgment. Dismissal under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1) is therefore appropriate. 13 Inclusive of this dismissal, Doe Defendants have hereby been dismissed from this action without 14 prejudice, and their Motions to Quash or Modify Subpoena (ECF Nos. 9, 10, 11) should hereby be 15 16 denied as moot as their associated IP addresses are no longer part of this suit. 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

1	Plaintiff still maintains claims against the other anonymous E	Ooe Defendants remaining in this				
2	action, and reserves the right to name such individuals and/or serve them when, and if, Plaintiff is in					
3	possession of their identifying information in the future of this ongoi					
4						
5						
6		MEIED DI LO				
7		MEIER PLLC,				
8						
9		Gibbs, Esq.				
	Steele Hansmeie	Esq. (SBN 251000) er PLLC.				
	38 Miller Avenu	12 #262				
	12 blgibbs@wefigh Attorney for Pla	ntpiracy.com intiff				
	14					
15						
	16 //					
	17 //					
	18 ''					
	19 ''					
20	20 ''					
21	$21 \parallel_{//}^{\infty}$					
22	22 //					
23	23 //					
24	24 //					
25	25 ///					
26	26 //					
	27 //					
28	NOTICE OF VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL OF ACTION WITHOUT	OUT PREJUDICE No. C-11-02833 EJD				
	NOTICE OF VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL OF ACTION WITHOUT	JUT PREJUDICE NO. C-11-02833 EJD				

[PROPOSED] ORDER In light of the above, Doe Defendants associated with Motions to Quash or Modify Subpoena (ECF Nos. 9,10, and 11) are hereby DISMISSED from this case without prejudice, and, therefore are no longer part of this suit. Additionally, Motions to Quash or Modify Subpoena (ECF Nos. 9, 10, and 11) are DENIED as the issues raised therein are now MOOT. Likewise the hearing on these Motions to Quash, currently, scheduled for October 11, 2011 at 10:00 a.m. in Courtroom 5 of the San Jose Federal Courthouse in front of Magistrate Judge Grewal, is hereby removed from the Court's calendar. Further, it is ORDERED that Plaintiff serve copies of this Order onto the relevant ISPs. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: Edward J. Davila United States District Court Judge

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	The undersigned hereby certifies that on September 27, 2011, all individuals of record who are
3	deemed to have consented to electronic service are being served a true and correct copy of the
4	foregoing document, and all attachments and related documents, using the Court's ECF system, in compliance with Local Rule 5-6 and General Order 45.
5	
6	/s/_Brett L. Gibbs
7	Brett L. Gibbs, Esq.
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	5
	NOTICE OF VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL OF ACTION WITHOUT PREJUDICE No. C-11-02833 EJD